Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,615	FREY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MATTHEW S. LINDSEY	2451
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>MATTHEW S. LINDSEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert O'Rourke (46,972).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 January 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ⊠ Telephonic  □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: □ No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 55-60		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/John Follansbee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/749,615

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed applicant that the application was being searched for allowance. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct 101 issues in claims 55-60. The applicant agreed with examiners suggestion to preclude claims 55-60 from being directed to carrier waves and signals by amending "computer readable non-transitory medium". The applicant authorized the examiner changes in an examiners amendment once the application was in condition for allowance.